

AENC-NG-CNS-REP-0020

Norwich to Tilbury

Volume 5: Reports and Statements

Document: 5.9.12 Final Statement of Common Ground - Basildon
Borough Council - Tracked Changes Version

Final Issue D

July 2026

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020027

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure)
Regulations 2009 Regulation 5(2)(g)

nationalgrid

Revision History

Version	Date	Submitted at
A	29 August 2025	DCO Application
B	26 February 2026	Deadline 1
C	12 May 2026	Deadline 4
<u>D</u>	<u>10 July 2026</u>	<u>Deadline 7</u>

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
1.1	Overview	1
1.2	Summary of Matters Under Discussion	2
1.3	Project Description	7
1.4	Format and Structure of this Document	8
2.	Record of Key Engagement	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Summary of Key Engagement	9
3.	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion	1819
3.1	Overview	18 19
3.2	Project development, description and design <u>Development, Description and Design</u>	19 20
3.3	Ecology and Biodiversity	28 31
3.4	Air Quality	33 40
3.5	Noise and Vibration	36 43
3.6	Health and Wellbeing	39 48
3.7	Historic Environment	43 53
3.8	Landscape and Visual	48 66
3.9	Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism	52 70
3.10	Cumulative Effects	59 77
3.11	Development Consent Order	63 81
3.12	Other Matters	63
4.	Confirmation of Agreement	6482

Table 2.1	Summary of Key Engagement between The Applicant and Basildon Borough Council	9
Table 3.1	Agreement status for matters presented in Section 3	18 19
Table 3.2	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to project development, description and design matters	19 20
Table 3.3	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Ecology and Biodiversity	28 31
Table 3.4	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Air Quality	33 40
Table 3.5	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Noise and Vibration	36 43
Table 3.6	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Health and Wellbeing	39 48
Table 3.7	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Historic Environment	43 53
Table 3.8	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Landscape and Visual	48 66
Table 3.9	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism	52 70
Table 3.10	Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Cumulative Effects	59 77

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

- 1.1.1 This ~~draft~~final Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared relating to the application for development consent for the proposed Norwich to Tilbury project (the 'Project') made by National Grid Electricity Transmission plc (referred to as 'The Applicant' within this document). It has been prepared in accordance with the guidance published by the Department of Communities and Local Government (Department for Communities and Local Government, 2015).
- 1.1.2 This SoCG has been prepared by National Grid as the Applicant and Basildon Borough Council (BBC). BBC is a prescribed consultee under Section 43 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA 2008) as a Host Authority.
- 1.1.3 This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the application documents and submissions into the examination. All documents are available in the deposit locations and /or the Planning Inspectorate website.
- 1.1.4 This SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority (ExA) where agreement has been reached between the parties. It identifies areas of the Project within the Development Consent Order (DCO) application ('the Application'), where matters are agreed, under discussion or not agreed between the parties.
- 1.1.5 The engagement between the parties across the breadth of matters is summarised in Chapter 2. Before submission of the DCO application, BBC were able to engage with the Project and provide some inputs into this SoCG. Engagement by BBC during examination has been limited to submission of a relevant representation and the responses provided to the Examining Authority's written questions at Deadline 3 and Deadline 5. These responses have been added by the Applicant into the relevant rows of the SoCG to show the BBC position. No further information has been provided by BBC, therefore the content of this SoCG is limited and the SoCG remains unsigned. This is understood by the Applicant to be due to local plan work load pressure.
- 1.1.6 This final SoCG has been prepared for deadline 7, in accordance with the Rule 8 letter [PD-011].
- ~~1.1.5 The engagement between the parties across the breadth of matters is ongoing. The SoCG is an evolving document and detailed wording within it is still being discussed between the parties.~~
- ~~1.1.6 This draft SoCG has been prepared for Deadline 4. It is intended to be a live and working document which will be updated as the Project progresses and shared with BBC at key points for discussion.~~
- ~~1.1.7 Future iterations will evolve from this point and all parties reserve the right to supplement the matters identified as we progress the discussions to ensure it is comprehensive. There are many items within it that although not currently agreed have the potential to be resolved. A final SoCG will be prepared ahead of the close of the DCO Examination. Unlike a final SoCG, this draft SoCG has not been officially signed by either party.~~

1.1.7 ~~1.1.8~~ The ~~parties~~ Applicant will continue to ~~work together~~ seek engagement from and with BBC beyond deadline 7, seeking further updates and resolution where appropriate ~~to ensure this SoCG is a reliable and up to date document which can inform the examination.~~

1.1.8 ~~1.1.9~~ This SoCG has been structured to reflect topics of the Application which are relevant to BBC. The applicable matters considered within this SoCG apply to BBC's statutory remit. The following bullet points present the topics included in this SoCG (they are also presented in Section 3):

- Project development, description and design
- Ecology and Biodiversity
- Air Quality
- Noise and Vibration
- Health and Wellbeing
- Historic Environment
- Landscape and Visual
- Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism
- Cumulative Effects
- Development Consent Order
- Other Matters

1.2 Summary of Matters Under Discussion

1.2.1 As requested by the Examining Authority, the below table provides an 'at a glance' summary of matters which are under discussion, together with a deadline by which such matters are expected to be resolved.

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
Project development, description and design		
3.2.2 – Project timing	BBC acknowledges the grid capacity offered by the project is needed by the timescales proposed, however the timeframes to achieve the network reinforcement is more uncertain, as per the Hiorns Report. The Applicant is legally obliged to provide capacity to the offshore windfarms contracted to connect the network in 2030, as formally agreed in contracts with energy generators by NESO. This position is provided in Section 3.2 of <u>8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]</u>	This matter is unlikely to be agreed as the Applicant is legally obliged to meet the timings as agreed with the offshore wind farms and NESO.

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
3.2.3 – Onshore route 3.2.4 - Predominantly overhead line route	BBC's preferred strategic option is that of securing an integrated offshore technology that minimises onshore transmission infrastructure and does not include overhead lines (OHLs) and pylons along its entire length. The Applicant has proposed an alignment in line with national policy statement EN-5 that meets the capacity requirements of the needs case. This position is provided in Sections 3.3 and 3.4 of 8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]	This matter is unlikely to be agreed as the Applicant does not propose changing the technology to offshore or underground
3.2.5 – 2022 non-statutory consultation 3.2.6 – 2023 non-statutory consultation 3.2.7 – 2024 statutory consultation 3.2.8 – 2025 targeted consultation	These matters remain under discussion, the Applicant has not received comment from BBC on these matters.	Therefore, resolution of these matters is unlikely
3.2.9 – Community benefits	This matter remains under discussion, the Applicant has not received comment from BBC on this matter.	Therefore, resolution of these matters is unlikely.
3.2.10 – Impact on future housing allocations	BBC stresses it should be understood that this housing allocation forms part of the wider growth ambition of BBC in our intent to meet the Government's revised Standard Method of housing allocation. Norwich to Tilbury must not inhibit the community development potential for Basildon. The Applicant has taken into consideration the location of planning applications and housing allocations in establishing the baseline as part of the routeing and siting studies to minimise any potential for direct impacts on housing development site.	Resolution likely by Deadline 7 Therefore, resolution of these matters is unlikely.
3.2.11 – Dunton Hills Garden Village (DHGV)	BBC considers that the Applicant has not adequately assessed the impact of the alignment on the deliverability and viability of DHGV and that the proposals undermine Garden Community Principles.	Resolution likely by Deadline 7 Engagement on this matter will continue with BBC post DCO Examination.

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
	The Applicant has taken into account the potential effect of its proposals when developing the alignment and works within the context of the planning status of other projects including DHGV. The Applicant's position is that deliverability of DHGV is not prejudiced by the Project. Further detail on this position is provided in Section 3.8 of Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]	
3.2.12 - Legal Agreements	The Applicant will secure any offsite BNG required and identified by the Biodiversity Offsetting Scheme, and delivery of any offsite tree planting by requirement.	The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC, which will conclude after finalisation of this SoCG through wording of the requirements.
3.2.13 – Landscape and visual compensation	National Grid is proposing a commitment to secure landscape compensation/offsetting measures. This would be submitted on a “blue pencil” basis and without prejudice to National Grid’s position that it does not consider the compensation measures are required by policy and therefore do not meet the relevant policy and legal tests.	The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC, which will likely conclude after finalisation of this SoCG.

Ecology and Biodiversity

3.3.1 Policy and legislation	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Ecology and Biodiversity and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.
3.3.3 Data sources		
3.3.6 Key parameters and assumptions		
3.3.7 Baseline conditions and receptors		
3.3.8 Embedded mitigation		
3.3.10 Additional mitigation		
3.3.11 Construction effects		
3.3.12 Operational (and maintenance) effects		
3.3.13 Outline CoCP		
3.3.14 Outline LEMP		
3.3.15 Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)		

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
3.3.16 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)		
Air Quality		
3.4.1 Policy and legislation 3.4.3 Data sources 3.4.5 Key parameters and assumptions 3.4.6 Baseline conditions and receptors 3.4.7 Embedded mitigation 3.4.9 Additional Mitigation 3.4.10 Construction effects 3.4.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Air Quality and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder <u>The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.</u>
Noise and Vibration		
3.5.1 Policy and legislation 3.5.3 Data sources 3.5.5 Key parameters and assumptions 3.5.6 Baseline conditions and receptors 3.5.7 Embedded mitigation 3.5.8 Standard mitigation 3.5.9 Additional mitigation 3.5.10 Construction effects 3.5.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Noise and Vibration and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder <u>The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.</u>
Health and Wellbeing		
3.6.1 Policy and legislation 3.6.3 Data sources 3.6.5 Key parameters and assumptions 3.6.6 Baseline conditions and receptors 3.6.10 Construction effects 3.6.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Health and Wellbeing and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder <u>The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.</u>
Historic Environment		
3.7.3 Data sources	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Historic Environment and will provide	Likely timeframe for resolution pending

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
3.7.4 b Assessment methodology (Non-designated heritage assets) 3.7.5 Key parameters and assumptions 3.7.6 Baseline conditions and receptors 3.7.7 Embedded mitigation 3.7.9 Additional Mitigation 3.7.10 Construction effects 3.7.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects 3.7.14 Draft Mitigation Strategy and Outline WSI 3.7.15 Programme for completion of archaeological fieldwork	the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	further discussion with stakeholder.
3.7.13	EPS on behalf of BBC have requested amendments to the WSIs, and the Applicant has confirmed that these have been taken into account when preparing the final versions of WSIs. The Applicant will continue to engage with BBC on this matter.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder.

Landscape and Visual

3.8.1 Policy and legislation 3.8.3 Data sources 3.8.4 Assessment methodology (including LVIA methodology) 3.8.5 Key parameters and assumptions 3.8.6 Baseline conditions and receptors 3.8.8. Standard mitigation 3.8.9 Additional Mitigation 3.8.10 Construction effects 3.8.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects 3.8.13	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Landscape and Visual and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder. The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.
3.8.7 Embedded mitigation	BCC have queries relating to adequacy of undergrounding, mitigation and lack of compensation are all still under	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
	discussion. The Applicant notes that the Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	stakeholder The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.
Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism		
3.9.2 Study area	BBC note that the methodology should consider how the project will impact on health in respect of Disruption to Green Space and Nature, Traffic and Transport, Water, Soil contamination and General community disruption. The Applicant notes that all of these elements have been assessed and reported on within the ES.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.
3.9.3 Data sources	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Socio-economics and Tourism and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.
3.9.5 Key parameters and assumptions		
3.9.6 Baseline conditions and receptors		
3.9.7 Embedded mitigation		
3.9.9 Additional mitigation		
3.9.10 Construction effects		
3.9.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects		
3.9.4 Assessment methodology	BBC have requested the quantification of employment numbers to be generated on a borough by borough basis or at a local community level within the assessment. The Applicant notes that a detailed assessment of the potential effect on the economy and employment within the Wider Study Area has been undertaken however, a district or borough breakdown of direct and indirect employment generation will not be available as job uptake will be skills level dependent, as well as taking into account suitability.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.
3.9.13 Skills and Employment	BBC consider it is essential that National Grid proactively explores mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and works closely with local partners to identify opportunities to support and strengthen the local economy. The	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.

SoCG ID	Summary of matter under discussion	Deadline for resolution
	assessments undertaken by the Applicant conclude that there would be no significant impacts on the local economy as a result of the Project. The Applicant now proposes to prepare and submit an <u>has submitted 8.13 Outline Employment and Skills Plan [REP5-215]</u> into the Examination at Deadline 5.	<u>to seek discussions with BBC.</u>
Cumulative Effects		
3.10.1 Policy and legislation	BCC is reviewing these sections relating to Cumulative Effects and will provide the Applicant with an update once complete. The Applicant will liaise with BCC once feedback is received.	Likely timeframe for resolution pending further discussion with stakeholder <u>The Applicant will continue to seek discussions with BBC.</u>
3.10.3 Data sources		
3.10.5 Key parameters and assumptions		
3.10.6 Baseline conditions and receptors		
3.10.7 Embedded mitigation		
3.10.9 Additional Mitigation		
3.10.10 Construction effects		
3.10.11 Operational (and maintenance) effects		
3.10.13 Dunton Hills Garden Village		

1.3 Project Description

- 1.3.1 The Project is a proposal by National Grid to upgrade the electricity transmission system in East Anglia between Norwich and Tilbury, comprising:
- A new 400 kilovolt (kV) electricity transmission connection of approximately 180 km overall length from Norwich Main Substation to Tilbury Substation via Bramford Substation, a new East Anglia Connection Node (EACN) Substation and a new Tilbury North Substation, including:
 - Approximately 159 km of new overhead line supported on approximately 509 pylons, either standard steel lattice pylons (approximately 50 m in height) or low height steel lattice pylons (approximately 40 m in height) and some of which would be gantries (typically up to 15 m in height) within proposed Cable Sealing End (CSE) compounds or existing or proposed substations
 - Approximately 21 km of 400 kV underground cabling, some of which would be located through the Dedham Vale National Landscape (an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB1))

- Up to seven new CSE compounds (with permanent access) to connect the overhead lines to the underground cables
- Modification works to connect into the existing Norwich Main Substation and a substation extension at the existing Bramford Substation
- A new 400 kV substation on the Tendring Peninsula, referred to as the EACN Substation (with a new permanent access). This is proposed to be an Air Insulated Switchgear (AIS) substation
- A new 400 kV substation to the south of Orsett Golf Course in Essex, referred to as the Tilbury North Substation (with a new permanent access). This is proposed to be a Gas Insulated Switchgear (GIS) substation
- Modifications to the existing National Grid Electricity Transmission overhead lines to facilitate the connection of the existing network into the new Tilbury North Substation to provide connection to the Tilbury Substation
- Ancillary and/or temporary works associated with the construction of the Project.

1.3.2 In addition, third party utilities diversions and/or modifications would be required to facilitate the construction of the Project. There would also be land required for environmental mitigation and Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG).

1.3.3 As well as the permanent infrastructure, land would also be required temporarily for construction activities including, for example, working areas for construction equipment and machinery, site offices, welfare, storage and temporary construction access.

1.3.4 The Project would be designed, constructed and operated in accordance with applicable health and safety legislation. The Project will need to comply with design safety standards including the Security and Quality of Supply Standard (SQSS), which sets out the criteria and methodology for planning and operating the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS). This informs a suite of National Grid policies and processes, which contain details on design standards required to be met when designing, constructing and operating assets such as those proposed for the Project.

1.4 Format and Structure of this Document

1.4.1 This SoCG is structured as follows:

- **Section 2** provides a summary of the key engagement undertaken to date with BBC
- **Section 3** summarises the key matters and captures the status of each issue / matter
- **Section 4** includes the sign off sheet

2. Record of Key Engagement

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 The Applicant has engaged with BBC on the Project throughout the pre-application process. This has included:

- Non-statutory consultation in Spring 2022 and Summer 2023
- Statutory consultation in Spring 2024
- Targeted Consultations in Spring 2025
- Regular meetings with lead officers about the Project as a whole
- Regular ‘Thematic Group’ meetings bringing together host authorities to discuss specific topics
- One to one / small group technical meetings on specific detailed matters
- Sharing of papers and draft documentation at key stages

2.1.2 Further details on the Applicant’s engagement with stakeholders is provided in **5.1 Consultation Report – Appendix A: Meetings and correspondence with stakeholders [APP-067]** and throughout the Environmental Statement.

2.2 Summary of Key Engagement

2.2.1 Table 2.1 provides an overview of the key engagement that has taken place between The Applicant and BBC.

Table 2.1 Summary of Key Engagement between The Applicant and Basildon Borough Council

Date	Format	Topic/Description
General		
June 2022	Meeting	Informal project catch-up and consultation feedback with BBC.
September 2022	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
November 2022	Meeting	Briefings on issued response to questions from OffSET with all host authorities.
November 2022	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
January 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
February 2023	Meeting	Pre-consultation session with all host authorities.
March 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
May 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
June 2023	Meeting	Non-statutory consultation preferred alignment briefing to all host authorities.
July 2023	Meeting	Dunton Hills Garden Village discussion.
July 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
September 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
October 2023 - Ongoing	Meeting	Monthly informal catch-up meetings.
November 2023	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
December 2023	Meeting	Dunton Hills Garden Village discussion.
December 2023	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the draft Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) to all host authorities for comment.
January 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
February 2024	Meeting	Statutory consultation preferred alignment briefing to all host authorities.
March 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
March 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the SoCC to all host authorities for statutory consultation.
May 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the draft Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) to all host authorities for comment.
October 2024	Meeting	The Applicant held a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on draft versions of the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) and Outline CoCP.
November 2024	Meeting	Meeting to discuss approach to targeted consultation
November 2024	Meeting	All host authority workshop
January 2025	Meeting	Meeting to provide project and design update
January 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
March 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
May 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
June 2025	Meeting	Meeting to discuss Statement of Common Ground

Date	Format	Topic/Description
June 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued draft DCO, explanatory memorandum and draft requirements
July 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
August 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued draft Heads of Terms for Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) and off-site tree provision
August 2025	Meeting	Meeting to provide project and design update
September 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
November 2025	Meeting	All host authority workshop
January 2026	Meeting	All host authority workshop
February 2026	Scheduled Meeting	Scheduled meeting to progress Statement of Common Ground
March 2026	Email	Email to agree approach for Statement of Common Ground deadline 4 submission
March 2026	Meeting	All host authority workshop
April 2026	Email	The Applicant shared the Statement of Common Ground and associated summary tables.
May 2026	Email	The Applicant shared the Statement of Common Ground following Deadline 4.
Ecology and Biodiversity		
July 2022	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology and Arboriculture Assessment Methodology for review ahead of the Thematic Group meeting.
July 2022	Meeting	Ecology and Biodiversity Thematic Group meeting - the Applicant presented on the Biodiversity Assessment Methodology and Arboriculture Assessment Methodology and sought feedback from BBC and other authorities.
September 2023	Meeting	The Applicant discussed the potential off-site scheme/initiatives for BNG.
March 2024	Meeting	Biodiversity Thematic Group to discuss the methodology and scope of ecology surveys outside the remit of Natural England.
May 2024	Technical Note	The Applicant issued a technical note to all host authorities outlining survey methods and the scope of surveys for species outside the remit of Natural England for agreement / comment.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
May 2024	Meeting	Optional Thematic Group call.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (oLEMP)
October 2024	Meeting	The Applicant hosted a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on draft versions of the Outline LEMP and CoCP.
January 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the Protected Species Proposed Mitigation Measures to stakeholders including BBC.
January 2025	Meeting	The Applicant hosted a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on proposed mitigation for species outside the remit of Natural England.
January 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the Biodiversity Net Gain BNG Strategy.
January 2025	Meeting	The Applicant hosted a meeting to discuss comments received on the draft Biodiversity Net Gain Report.
January 2025	Meeting	The Applicant hosted a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on the second iteration of the Outline LEMP and Outline CoCP.
May 2025	Meeting	The Applicant hosted a meeting to discuss comments from the updated proposed mitigation for species outside the remit of Natural England.
Air Quality		
September 2022	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the proposed methodology and scope of the Air Quality assessment for review and comment.
Noise and Vibration		
September 2022	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the proposed methodology and scope of the Noise and Vibration assessment for review and comment.
Health and Wellbeing		
September 2022	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the Health and Wellbeing Assessment Methodology to all host authorities.
September 2024	Meeting	The Applicant held a meeting to discuss and agree the proposed assessment scope and methodology for the Health and Wellbeing chapter of the ES.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
October 2024	Technical note	The Applicant issued a refreshed Health and Wellbeing technical note on the proposed approach to the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), including guidance, study area, scope, and assessment methodology.
Historic Environment		
July 2022	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued a document detailing the scope and methodology for the Historic Environment assessment and baseline to all host authorities and Historic England.
July 2022	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group to discuss the proposed approach for the EIA assessment.
September 2022	Meeting	The Applicant presented an updated approach to defining study areas, scoping of walkover and scoping of historic buildings to consider in the assessment, in response to feedback received.
January 2023	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the plans showing the proposed viewpoint locations for landscape and heritage ahead of the Thematic Group meeting in February 2023 to all host authorities.
February 2023	Meeting	The Applicant held a meeting with all host authorities to discuss landscape and heritage viewpoints.
June 2023	Technical Note	The Applicant issued a technical note to Historic England and host authorities to agree methodology for the selection of viewpoints for the Historic Environment assessment.
September 2023	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group meeting to discuss the proposed heritage viewpoint methodology with all host authorities and Historic England.
November 2023	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group meeting to discuss the proposed locations of heritage viewpoints with host authorities and Historic England. Feedback was received from stakeholders regarding proposed viewpoints and additional viewpoints were proposed.
November 2023	Meeting	Historic Environment Thematic Group meeting to discuss proposed locations of heritage viewpoints with all host authorities and Historic England. Viewpoint locations shared in PDF and shapefile.

Date	Format	Topic/Description
January 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the updated viewpoints (including ZTV) for feedback from all host authorities, Natural England and Historic England.
March 2024	Technical Note	The Applicant shared the Historic Environment Desk-Based Assessment for review and comment.
May 2024	Meeting	Optional Statutory Consultation Thematic Group call.
May 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
June 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
July 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
August 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
September 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
October 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
November 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
December 2024	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
January 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
February 2025	Meeting	Thematic Group meeting to discuss the Historic Environment Viewpoints.
February 2025	Meeting	The Applicant Hosted a meeting to discuss the Heritage Baseline report.
February 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
March 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued updated the Historic Environment Viewpoints information to stakeholders including BBC.
March 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the Draft Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Draft Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for post-consent stage of the project.
March 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
April 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the Draft Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Draft Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for post-consent stage of the project
April 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
May 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
June 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group

Date	Format	Topic/Description
June 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shares an Archaeological fieldwork summary for comment
July 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
August 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
September 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
September 2025	Technical note	The Applicant shared Priority Geophys Prelim Grayscale with stakeholders for consideration
October 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
November 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
November 2025	Technical Note	The Applicant shared shapefiles of the Phase 2 Geophysical Survey with the priority survey areas
December 2025	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
December 2025	Technical Note	The Applicant shared the WSI for the Phase 2 Geophysical Survey
January 2026	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
February 2026	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the Supplementary Environmental Information submitted to Planning Inspectorate on the geophysical survey and archaeological trial trenching completed to date with the Archaeology Working Group Stakeholders.
February 2026	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
March 2026	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group
April 2026	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting.
April 2026	Meeting	Meeting to discuss mitigation areas for trial trenching.
May 2026	Meeting	Archaeology Working Group Meeting.
May 2026	Email	The Applicant shared the Outline AMS and OWSI for further comment.
May 2026	Email	The Applicant shared the Geoarchaeological Monitoring of GI WSI for comment.

Landscape and Visual

July 2022	Meeting	Landscape and Visual Thematic Group Meeting. The Applicant shared the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) Methodology and Arboricultural Assessment Methodology for review.
-----------	---------	---

Date	Format	Topic/Description
January 2023	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued plans showing proposed viewpoint locations for review and comment to all host authorities.
April 2023	Meeting	The Applicant held a meeting to discuss stakeholder's feedback on EIA viewpoints previously shared.
May 2023	Meeting	The Applicant presented and discussed the responses to the feedback on the viewpoint locations received from the February meeting (covering both heritage and landscape viewpoints). Stakeholders provided feedback on updated and additional viewpoint locations at the meeting and in subsequent correspondence.
May 2023 – March 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared information, responded to further feedback on viewpoint locations received from the May 2023 meeting, and reviewed subsequent feedback received up to March 2024 with the aim to agree viewpoint locations for the PEIR and ES (based on the information available at this date).
August 2023	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued wirelines and photomontages and proposed the approach to Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) mapping for comment.
January 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the updated landscape viewpoints (and the ZTV) and sought feedback from all host authorities.
May 2024	Meeting	Optional Statutory Consultation Thematic Group call.
September 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the Draft Landscape and Visual Methodology, Proposed LVIA Viewpoints (excel spreadsheet) and Proposed LVIA Viewpoints (map) ahead of the Landscape Thematic Group Meeting.
September 2024	Meeting	The Applicant held a Landscape Thematic Group Meeting to find agreement on the LVIA methodology and the format/presentation of photomontages and/or wirelines which will form part of the DCO application.
September 2024	Meeting	Landscape and Visual Thematic Group Meeting – LVIA Viewpoints within Essex South and Thurrock VPs.
October 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the shapefiles for the landscape viewpoints and order limits with BBC

Date	Format	Topic/Description
		and other stakeholders following the Landscape Thematic Group Meeting.
October 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the draft Outline LEMP and Sample Mitigation Drawings ahead of the draft Outline LEMP and Outline CoCP discussion.
October 2024	Meeting	The Applicant held a meeting to discuss comments from stakeholders on draft versions of the Outline LEMP and CoCP.
October 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared the Draft mitigation drawings with stakeholders
October 2024	Email Correspondence	The Applicant shared updated viewpoint information data following from the landscape thematic workshops
November 2024	Meeting	Thematic group meeting to discuss viewpoints and methodology.
March 2025	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued an update on LVIA Viewpoints and Methodology.
March 2026	Email Correspondence	The Applicant sent an e-mail to seek further clarification and detail in relation to requests for additional landscape and visual measures.
Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism		
July 2022	Email Correspondence	The Applicant issued the assessment methodology to stakeholders for review ahead of the Thematic Group Meeting in July 2022.
July 2022	Meeting	The Applicant held a Socio-economic, Recreation and Tourism Thematic Group Meeting to seek feedback on the proposed approach to the Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment prior to formal submission of the Scoping Report to the Planning Inspectorate. This meeting was attended by several stakeholders, including BBC.
June 2023	Technical Note	The Applicant issued a Technical Note setting out the study area and methodology for assessing businesses where visual impacts are a potential operational consideration, and Public Right of Way (PRoW) during construction and operation.
August 2023	Meeting	The Applicant held a Socio-economic, Recreation and Tourism Thematic Group Meeting to discuss the study area and methodology for assessing businesses.
April 2024	Technical Note	The Applicant shared an updated technical note with all host authorities to demonstrate how their

Date	Format	Topic/Description
		feedback had been considered in developing the PEIR.
September 2024	Meeting	Meeting to discuss and agree the Scope and Methodology for the updated Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism Technical note on the ES Chapter.
September 2024	Technical Note	The Applicant shared the Socio-economic, Recreation and Tourism technical note with stakeholders.
November 2024	Meeting	The Applicant held a follow up meeting to discuss and agree the Scope and Methodology for the updated Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism Technical note on the ES Chapter.
March 2025	Email	The Applicant shared a 3rd Technical note to agree the study area and assessment criteria for comment.

3. Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion

3.1 Overview

- 3.1.1 This chapter details the matters relevant to Basildon Borough Council which have been agreed, not agreed or are under discussion between the parties. Matters are arranged by topic (using broad headings, or EIA chapter headings where appropriate) and each matter is given a unique reference number to aid identification.
- 3.1.2 The red, amber, green status shows the level of agreement with BBC. Descriptions of the different levels are summarised in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Agreement status for matters presented in Section 3

Status	Description
Not Agreed	Indicates a final position, where it has not been possible to resolve the issue to the agreement of both parties and there remains a difference of opinion.
Under Discussion	Indicates where issues are the subject of active on-going discussion.
Agreed	Indicates where an issue has been agreed or resolved satisfactorily to the agreement of both parties.
<u>Not Applicable</u>	<u>Indicates where an issue is not relevant to the stakeholder and therefore does not require further discussion or agreement.</u>

- 3.1.3 Engagement will continue as the Project develops and progresses through the various stages of the DCO process.
- 3.1.4 Table 3.2 to Table 3.10 provides the matters agreed, not agreed ~~or~~ under discussion or not applicable in relation to the various topics.

3.2 Project ~~development, description and design~~ Development, Description and Design

Table 3.2 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to project development, description and design matters

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
Strategic options/needs case				
3.2.1	Needs case	Norwich to Tilbury is being proposed because the existing network in East Anglia doesn't have sufficient capacity to manage the expected (and in some cases, contracted) increase in offshore wind farms (and interconnectors) needing to connect to the grid as part of the Government's target of reaching net zero by 2050. The project sits alongside other work to reinforce and upgrade the existing network in East Anglia. Norwich to Tilbury is listed as a key project in Annex 2 of the NESO Clean Power 2030 Report. For The Applicant's position on needs case, please refer to Section 3.2 'Needs Case and Timing' in Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]	BBC Response to Targeted Consultations (March 2025): Basildon Council has always acknowledged that the grid capacity offered by the Norwich to Tilbury project is needed. National Grid's own Strategic Options Backcheck and Review (April 2024), as well as ongoing liaison with the project team and earlier consultation material have sufficiently made the case for the project.	Agreed
3.2.2	Project timing	Timing for the project is driven by the needs case – when offshore wind farms are contracted to connect to the UK network – the first of which are contracted to connect in 2030. The Applicant is legally obliged (under our Transmission Owner License) to provide capacity at the dates formally agreed in contracts with energy generators (or customers) by NESO. Annex 2 of the NESO Clean Power 2030 Report shows that the constraint costs associated with a	BBC Response to Targeted Consultations (March 2025): It remains unclear why the project must be in place by 2030/31, as the ESO study also found that undergrounded High Voltage Direct Current would be cost comparable to overhead pylons assuming a 2034 completion date. Overall, the latter date may still prove to be a better option given the greater efficiency of this approach.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		delay to the project timing as being between £2.7 and £2.8 billion. For the Applicant's position on project timing, please refer to Section 3.2 'Needs Case and Timing' in 8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030] The Applicant considers it is unlikely that the two parties will find a mutually agreed position, and therefore suggest this matter is moved to Not Agreed.	Basildon Relevant Representation (November 2025): Basildon Council accepts that the network reinforcement offered by this project is needed to accommodate the additional planned electricity generation in the East Anglia region. It would also assist in the decarbonisation of the UK's energy supply and help deliver the Government targets of net zero by 2050. However, the timeframes to achieve the required network reinforcement is more uncertain. The Applicants assert that the project is required to be delivered by 2030 to meet Government targets and contract and/or licensing requirements. Conversely, the independently commissioned (Essex, Suffolk and Norfolk County Councils) Hiorns report (November 2023) suggested that having regard to the realities of when connection of new generation sources to the transmission network was likely to be required, an exceedance of current or committed transmission network capacity (including the Bramford to Twinstead Reinforcement which is currently underway) was not likely before 2035. If indeed the timing for the network reinforcement is less acute as suggested in the Hiorns report, The current application proposes predominantly 50m high lattice pylons, with targeted AC undergrounding in the Dedham Vale National Landscape. As such, the scheme as put	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			forward would have very significant impacts including landscape and visual. If indeed the timing for the network reinforcement is less acute as suggested in the Hiorns report, The Council consider that alternative schemes to the current lattice pylons scheme should be explored in more detail (such as off-shore and High Voltage Direct Current undergrounding) to ascertain whether they would achieve better environmental outcomes overall than the current submitted scheme, and if so, put forward at pace to achieve the required network reinforcement instead of the submitted scheme. Owing to the above, the Council request that the Examining Authority carefully consider the available evidence including the Hiorns Report and have an Issue Specific Hearing to assess this issue of timeframes further.	
3.2.3	Onshore route	An onshore route allows for greater energy capacity and connectivity to feed into the grid. In assessing offshore options to deliver the same capacity as an onshore overhead line, we would need to build three subsea cables and associated infrastructure, which would add significant cost and not meet the needs case for Norwich to Tilbury. Updated Strategic Options and Backcheck Review documents published at each consultation compare the environmental, technical, socioeconomic and financial	BBC Response to Targeted Consultations (March 2025): BBC believe credible alternatives to overhead transmission lines, such as an offshore centered approach or HVDC Undergrounding, delivered at pace, to minimise onshore infrastructure should be explored fully Basildon Relevant Representation (November 2025): Basildon Council's preferred strategic option is that of securing an integrated offshore technology that minimises onshore	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		implications for alternative routes, including offshore alternatives. For the Applicant's position on the onshore route, please refer to Section 3.3 'Alternatives – Offshore Alternatives' in 8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]. The Applicant considers it is unlikely that the two parties will find a mutually agreed position, and therefore suggest this matter is moved to Not Agreed.	transmission infrastructure and does not include overhead lines (OHLs) and pylons along its entire length. Basildon, along with ECC considers that option could deliver the best outcome in the interests of safeguarding the amenities of communities and the environment. We believe that alternative solutions, including undergrounding or different pylon design, should have been assessed in line with the mitigation hierarchy and principles of good design in NPS EN-1 and EN-5.	
3.2.4	Predominantly overhead line route	Norwich to Tilbury has been designed in line with policy statement EN-5 (which covers the development of new energy infrastructure) which concludes that in most cases, the government expects that overhead lines will be appropriate and should be used as standard to reinforce the grid. Updated Strategic Options and Backcheck Review documents published at each consultation compare the environmental, technical, socioeconomic and financial implications for alternative routes, including underground alternatives. The work undertaken shows that undergrounding, including using HVDC cables, would be significantly more expensive and have environmental impacts and present engineering challenges. Due to the higher price that would be involved in an underground alternative, we do not believe that this would be the most suitable	BBC Response to Targeted Consultations (March 2025): BBC believe credible alternatives to overhead transmission lines, such as an offshore centered approach or HVDC, undergrounding, delivered at pace, to minimise onshore infrastructure should be explored fully. Basildon Relevant Representation (November 2025): The current application proposes predominantly 50m high lattice pylons, with targeted AC undergrounding in the Dedham Vale National Landscape. As such, the scheme as put forward would have very significant impacts including landscape and visual.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		option as all costs ultimately go onto domestic energy bills. For the Applicant's position on the predominantly overhead line route, please refer to Section 3.4 'Technology Choice – Overhead Line and Underground Cables' in 8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]. The Applicant considers it is unlikely that the two parties will find a mutually agreed position, and therefore suggest this matter is moved to Not Agreed.	It is imperative that Norwich to Tilbury does not impede or inhibit growth potential for Basildon and the surrounding areas. We request that all means to mitigate the impact of the OHP scheme be pursued, including the securing of an underground solution to minimise the viability and deliverability of both DHGV and adjacent Basildon Local Plan housing (H11) site. Basildon Council's preferred strategic option is that of securing an integrated offshore technology that minimises onshore transmission infrastructure and does not include overhead lines (OHLs) and pylons along its entire length.	

Project development process - Consultation

3.2.5	2022 non-statutory consultation	Non-statutory consultation took place between 21 April 2022 – 16 June 2022. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Consultation Strategy, and responses to feedback received during consultation are included in 5.1 Consultation Report – Appendix B: 2022 non-statutory consultation [APP-068] The non-statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published Consultation Strategy.		Under discussion
3.2.6	2023 non-statutory consultation	Non-statutory consultation took place between 27 June 2023 – 21 August 2023. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Consultation Strategy, and responses to feedback received during consultation are included in 5.1		Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Consultation Report – Appendix C: 2023 non-statutory consultation [APP-069] The non-statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published Consultation Strategy.		
3.2.7	2024 statutory consultation	Statutory Consultation took place from Wednesday 10 April 2024 to 26 July 2024 (the end date was extended from 18 June 2024 due to the general election). Details of this consultation are outlined in the SoCC. Responses to feedback received during statutory consultation are available within 5.1 Consultation Report [APP-066]. The statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published SoCC.		Under discussion
3.2.8	2025 targeted consultation	Targeted consultations for Essex took place from 25 February 2025 – 27 March 2025. Details of these consultations are outlined in the Targeted Consultation Strategy and associated targeted consultation leaflets and environmental implications of change documents. Responses to feedback received during targeted consultation are contained within 5.1 Consultation Report – Appendix K: Targeted Consultation 2025 [APP-077]. The targeted consultations were undertaken in accordance with the published Targeted Consultation Strategy. The approach to targeted consultation was undertaken in accordance with Section 50 of the Planning Act 2008 and associated guidance:		Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Planning Act 2008: Pre-application stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (April 2024).		
Other matters as required				
3.2.9	Community Benefits	The Applicant is preparing a community funds package in line with the 'Guidance: Community funds for transmission infrastructure' (DESNZ, 2025). In line with this guidance, the community funds will be delivered outside the development consent process, as they are not a material consideration in the decision on the proposed Project nor a matter to be secured as part of the Development Consent Order. Later in spring 2026, the <u>The</u> Applicant will begin consulting <u>in July 2026</u> on how the community funds should be used for Norwich to Tilbury, subject to the Project receiving consent <u>and construction starting</u> . Throughout that consultation, we will engage with local communities and elected representatives to understand local priorities and where community funds could deliver long-lasting benefits.		Under discussion
3.2.10	Impact on future housing allocations	The Applicant has taken into consideration the location of planning applications and housing allocations in establishing the baseline as part of the routeing and siting studies to minimise any potential for direct impacts on housing development site, where possible. At all stages in the development of the Project, the Applicant has considered local plan allocations (both adopted and emerging) as well as planning applications along the route.	BBC Response to Statutory Consultations (July 2024): The Applicant's preferred solution of an overhead line poses questions for Basildon Borough Council's ability to propose site allocations in the west of Basildon borough in its new Local Plan, given the concerns which an overhead route raises around the ability to secure the necessary infrastructure funding from new development. Uncertainties over	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>Overhead electricity lines can be successfully co-located with development provided that the statutory safety clearances are maintained in accordance with the Electricity Safety, Quality and Continuity Regulations 2002. National Grid has produced its Design Guidelines for development near high voltage overhead lines, which set out these statutory safety clearances and provide detailed guidance for developers on how successful co-location can be achieved in practice.</u>	the ability to propose allocations close to the Norwich to Tilbury route places issues on viability evidence which would identify an infrastructure funding gap. This, in turn, helps to influence viability and any identified gap could be significantly higher if the pylon route subsequently provokes a deterioration in house prices/land values close to the route. Basildon Relevant Representation (November 2025): Basildon is in the process of preparing a New Local Plan to guide future development and Basildon also made a joint Expression of Interest to the New Towns Task Force earlier this year. This illustrates future growth potential in this area, which will look to Basildon as the largest sub-regional town centre in the area and one of the largest economies in Essex, as a focus for redevelopment. It is imperative that Norwich to Tilbury does not impede or inhibit growth potential for Basildon and the surrounding areas. It should also be understood that this housing allocation forms part of the wider growth ambition of Basildon Borough Council in our intent to meet the Government's revised Standard Method of housing allocation. Norwich to Tilbury must not inhibit the community development potential for Basildon	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.2.11	Dunton Hills Garden Village	The Applicant has taken into account the potential effect of its proposals when developing the route alignment. In this location we have to work within the context of the planning status of other projects, including the DHGV proposals. The Applicant has developed its proposal in this area in line with EN-1 and EN-5, the starting presumption being overhead line as the area is not subject to a national landscape designation (or within its setting) which would lead to a change in technology (underground cable) in line with EN-5. We do not consider the level of effects meets the threshold for undergrounding elsewhere as set out in 2.9.23 of EN-5. 7.21 2024 Design Development Report for the Project [APP-359REP1-069] identified that there would be a direct loss of development footprint by the use of underground cable. The current proposed overhead line alignment follows the corridor created by the safety zone of an existing gas pipeline, which in combination with detailed master planning, would substantially mitigate potential effects on developable land and indirect effects. <u>Due to the Applicant routing the Project within an existing gas pipeline safety corridor, subject to final micro-siting, the total quantum of housing, or any other approved land use within the Dunton Hills Garden Village, will not be affected by the proposed overhead line. Neither will the proposed overhead line affect the overall viability and delivery of Dunton Hills Garden Village.</u>	Basildon Relevant Representation (November 2025): It is our view that this current preferred alignment fails to mitigate locally significant effects on DHGV and surrounding allocations and thereby undermines the planned delivery of housing and infrastructure in Brentwood, Basildon and Thurrock. The Applicant has not properly taken this into account in route selection, contrary to Holford Rule 7. The pylons and overhead lines are likely to have a materially adverse effect on residential values and residual land value (circa £17.5m reduction), with consequential risks to the delivery of affordable housing and essential infrastructure at DHGV and other emerging sites. The route has a direct impact on the Dunton Hills proposal. Dunton Hills Garden Village is a cross-boundary allocated strategic housing site in Brentwood and runs along the boundary of Basildon, and is directly impacted by the Norwich to Tilbury route. It is dealt with directly by a separate dedicated application. Dunton Hills Garden Village (DHGV) is an allocated strategic housing site (Policy R01) in the Brentwood Local Plan adopted on 23rd March 2022 to deliver circa 4000 new homes together with associated infrastructure. This allocation was identified to meet the majority of Brentwood's housing need, within the Plan period and beyond. In support of this policy	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>Lands Improvements (LIH) has recently purchased the Dunton Hills Garden Village site in the knowledge of the proposed overhead line and has recently completed the section 106 agreement relating to the planning permission for the development with the Council. The completion of the section 106 agreement confirms that the Council is happy that the Dunton Hills Garden Village development will provide an appropriate amount of affordable housing, in addition to all the other infrastructure and community facilities included in the agreement.</u> For the Applicant's position on Dunton Hills Garden Village, please refer to Section 3.8 'Alternatives – Dunton Hills Garden Village' in 8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]. <u>In addition, National Grid provided a response to this matter at Deadline 1 in 8.4.1 Applicant's Comments on Relevant Representations [REP2-023] at Deadline 3 in response to ExA question GEN 1.23 within 8.9.1 Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions [REP3-074] and at Deadline 4 under GEN 1.23, 1.24 and 1.25 in 8.4.10 Applicant's Comments on Responses to ExQ1 [REP4-299].</u>	objective, Brentwood Borough Council approved the Dunton Hills Supplementary Planning Document in January 2023 (SPD). Brentwood is in the process of reviewing its adopted Local Plan accordingly. As the marginal viability of the proposed development of Dunton Hills Garden Village (DHGV) could impact the provision delivery of affordable housing and necessary infrastructure, we request that all means to mitigate the impact of the OHP scheme be pursued, including the securing of an underground solution to minimise the viability and deliverability of, both, DHGV and adjacent Basildon Local Plan housing (H11) site, which is also designed to meet the Garden City standards.	
<u>3.2.12</u>	<u>Legal agreements</u>	<u>National Grid will secure measures to support the discharge of the statutory duty under section 245 of the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023 (the 'furthering the purposes' duty) through a</u>	<u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230]: response to GEN 2.1:</u>	<u>Under discussion</u>

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>bilateral obligation with Suffolk CC under section 106 TCPA 1990. An updated unsigned agreement was submitted at Deadline 6 in 8.25 National Landscape - DRAFT - Agreement pursuant to section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (Revision B). Following review, the Applicant is now to secure any offsite BNG required and identified by the Biodiversity Offsetting Scheme, and delivery of any offsite tree planting resulting from the commitment to replace individual trees and individual trees in small groups at a ratio of 3:1, by requirement and not by a unilateral s106 agreement.</u> <u>The Applicant is committed to continue discussions with BBC, which will likely conclude after finalisation of this SoCG. On this basis this matter is retained as Under Discussion.</u>	<u>With regard to the following matters: i. Biodiversity Net Gain... Basildon proposes that these requests should form a bilateral or multi-party S106 agreement or S111 agreement, or other such hybrid agreement known as a Deed of Obligation.</u>	
<u>3.2.13</u>	<u>Landscape and visual compensation</u>	<u>As explained by Counsel for National Grid at ISH4, National Grid is also proposing a commitment to secure landscape compensation/offsetting measures. This would be submitted on a "blue pencil" basis and without prejudice to National Grid's position that it does not consider the compensation measures are required by policy and therefore do not meet the relevant policy and legal tests. Further details are set out in 8.5.12 Applicant's Summary of Oral Submissions and Response to Action Points for Issue Specific Hearing 4 [REP6-118], should the Secretary of State consider the</u>		<u>Under discussion</u>

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>measures necessary there is a mechanism to secure these.</u> <u>The Applicant is committed to continue discussions with BBC, which will likely conclude after finalisation of this SoCG. On this basis this matter is retained as Under Discussion.</u>		

3.3 Ecology and Biodiversity

Table 3.3 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Ecology and Biodiversity

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.3.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Ecology and Biodiversity assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 - Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126] and Section 8.2 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026](Revision C). All relevant legislation, policy and guidance have been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	Not yet seen the ES so cannot comment at this stage.	Under discussion
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.3.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.3.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 8.4 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026] (Revision C) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
3.3.4	Assessment Methodology	The assessment methodology was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The assessment methodology was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.3.5	Survey Methodology	The Applicant issued a Technical Note in May 2024 outlining survey methods and the scope of surveys for species outside the remit of Natural England for agreement / comment. No comments received to date on the Technical Note from BBC, no comments received at Statutory Consultation, therefore it is assumed that this matter is agreed.	National Grid issued a Technical Note in May 2024 outlining survey methods and the scope of surveys for species outside the remit of Natural England for agreement / comment. No comments received to date on the Technical Note from BBC, no comments received at Statutory Consultation, therefore it is assumed that this matter is agreed.	Agreed
3.3.6	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Ecology and Biodiversity assessment are summarised in Section 8.4 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026] (Revision C) . The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.3.7	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Ecology and Biodiversity are presented in Section 8.5 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026] (Revision C) . The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.3.8	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Ecology and Biodiversity effects, are set out in Section 8.6 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026] (Revision C) . Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.3.9	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Ecology and Biodiversity effects during construction are summarised in Section 8.6 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026] (Revision C) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [2-025] (Revision G) . The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.	CoCP comments are being provided by Essex Place Services (EPS) on behalf of all the Essex councils. The Council defers to that response.	Not applicable

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.3.10	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures is presented in Section 8.6 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026](Revision C). Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

EIA – Assessment Conclusions

3.3.11	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 8.7 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026](Revision C). The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate. <u>Tables 8.23 and 8.24 within 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology and Biodiversity (Revision C) do not restate a specific timeframe for residual effects because the duration of impacts has already been assessed and defined within the magnitude assessment using the agreed categories of short term (up to 1 year), medium term (1–10 years) and long term (greater than 10 years). Duration, along with other magnitude components, is fully considered and described in the earlier stages of the assessment, where it informs the evaluation of unmitigated effects. This approach reflects standard EIA practice, in which the residual effects section presents the final significance outcome, with the underlying factors—such as duration—embedded within the earlier magnitude assessment rather</u>	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230]: response to BIO 2.1:</u> <u>There is a persistent assumption throughout the ES Chapter 8 that the planned reinstatement of impacted habitats will lead to an inevitable longterm negligible effect – both for the habitats and the species associated with them. Two problems with that assumption are:</u> <u>1. It assumes that there will always be successful habitat reinstatement to an equivalent (or better) type and condition of habitat. New vegetation planting, be it trees, shrubs or grass mix, can have problems and setbacks. The 5- year management period proposed for the vegetation reinstatement efforts does not leave much scope for any failures that would need correction and care to assure safe establishment.</u> <u>2. The impact assessments within the ES Chapter 8 are not transparent with respect to</u>	Under discussion
--------	----------------------	---	--	------------------

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>than restated.</u> <u>The Applicant confirms that a five-year monitoring period for tree and hedgerow planting is proposed.</u> <u>This is considered sufficient to demonstrate successful establishment and is consistent with the approach taken on other recent NSIPs, including those promoted by National Grid.</u>	<u>predicted residual impacts in the short and medium term. As ECC has stated before, within the ES Chapter 8, Table 8.23 (the Residual Effect column), does not ever clarify how long it is predicted to take for the magnitude of impact to degrade to a negligible level for the affected receptors. One could interpret from Table 8.23 that the application of mitigation will ultimately bring about a neutral outcome but miss recognising that there is a necessary period of recovery time which may be measurable in years. Providing transparency about the short – medium term impacts predictable for receptor species displaced from or otherwise denied previous resources, would enable a more inference-based examination of those assessments. That further clarity of argument would enable reviewers of the ES Chapter 8 to more informatively judge whether or not certain long-term impact assessments do seem reasonable to assess as neutral.</u> <u>Green Infrastructure</u> <u>We support the concerns set out above and add the following.</u> <u>We consider that insufficient regard has been given to the temporal gap between habitat loss recovery and reinstatement, particularly in relation to ecological function and species use of habitats. While mitigation may deliver comparable habitats in the long term, the ES does not adequately assess</u>	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			<u>the short- to medium-term deficit arising during establishment.</u> <u>In practice, habitats such as hedgerows and tree lines are unlikely to provide equivalent ecological function (e.g. for commuting bats, nesting birds, and invertebrates) for a number of years, and in some cases decades. The ES does not clearly demonstrate how these interim effects on ecological receptors have been assessed or how significance has been attributed over this period.</u> <u>It is reasonable to expect a minimum of 10+ years before hedgerows and tree lines begin to provide comparable structure and connectivity, with longer timescales required to reach mature condition.</u> <u>We also note that the linear and extensive nature of the works increases the likelihood of cumulative temporary disruption to habitat connectivity, particularly in sensitive landscapes. For example, the loss of linear features may fragment bat commuting routes and reduce foraging opportunities over multiple seasons; the ES does not clearly assess these interim effects or their significance. More widely, species reliant on habitat continuity may experience displacement, reduced resources, and increased predation during recovery.</u> <u>We therefore consider that a short- to medium-term biodiversity deficit is likely, and that this has not been fully or transparently</u>	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			<u>assessed within ES Chapter 8. Further clarity is required on recovery timescales, interim impacts on species, and measures to reduce temporal losses such as advanced planting, retention of key features where feasible, and longer-term management commitments. The proposed mitigation and management approach does not fully address the duration or extent of these interim effects.</u> <u>While we acknowledge that long-term reinstatement may reduce impacts, the current assessment does not fully demonstrate that a biodiversity deficit would be avoided over the lifetime of the project when temporal effects are taken into account.</u>	
3.3.12	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 8.7 of 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026](Revision C) . The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230]: response to BIO 2.8:</u> <u>We acknowledge that the submitted ES Chapter 8 considered the issue with appropriate survey effort and reasonably concluded a negligible risk to the effect on birds. With respect to the route through Essex, We do not disagree with the NG/Arcadis assessment or their conclusions.</u>	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.3.13	Outline CoCP	The 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G) includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026](Revision C) and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.	The content of the CoCP is still under discussion.	Under discussion
3.3.14	Outline LEMP	The 7.4 Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [REP3-030](Revision G) includes all relevant operational related mitigation measures specified in 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 - Ecology and Biodiversity [AS-026](Revision C) and is appropriate.	EPS response to the draft outline LEMP on 22 October 2024.	Under discussion
Other matters as required				
3.3.15	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)	The Applicant will deliver at least 10 % BNG with wider environmental and societal benefits on its construction projects. 7.1 Biodiversity Net Gain Report [APP-299] sets out the approach to BNG. Offsite BNG will be secured by a legal agreement requirement and delivered through collaboration with partners and purchased from commercially registered providers. <u>Following review, the Applicant is now to secure any offsite BNG required and identified by the Biodiversity Offsetting Scheme, and delivery of any offsite tree planting resulting from the commitment to replace individual trees and</u>	<u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230]: response to GEN 2.1:</u> No comments received from BBC on this matter. <u>With regard to the following matters: i. Biodiversity Net Gain... Basildon proposes that these requests should form a bilateral or multi-party S106 agreement or S111 agreement, or other such hybrid agreement known as a Deed of Obligation.</u>	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>individual trees in small groups at a ratio of 3:1, by requirement and not by a unilateral s106 agreement.</u> <u>The Applicant is committed to continue discussions with BBC, which will likely conclude after finalisation of this SoCG. On this basis this matter is retained as Under Discussion.</u>		
3.3.16	Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)	The AIA has been prepared in accordance with Appendix J of 6.19 Scoping Report - including appendices B to K [APP-296] and is considered appropriate for this stage of the project.	No comments received from BBC on this matter.	Under discussion

3.4 Air Quality

Table 3.4 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Air Quality

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.4.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Air Quality assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126](Revision B) and Section 7.2 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.4.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.4.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 7.4 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.4.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Air Quality was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Air Quality was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.4.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with Air Quality are summarised in Section 7.4 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.4.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Air Quality are presented in Section 7.5 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.4.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Air Quality effects, are set out in Section 7.6 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.4.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 7.6 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G) . The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate,	CoCP comments are being provided by EPS on behalf of all the Essex councils. The Council defers to that response.	Not applicable

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. <u>The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.</u>		
3.4.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 7.6 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Assessment Conclusions				
3.4.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 7.7 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.4.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 7.7 of 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air Quality [APP-147](Revision B) . The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.4.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-026](Revision G) includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Air	CoCP comments are being provided by EPS.	Not applicable

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Quality [APP-147] (Revision B) and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.		
Other matters as required				

3.5 Noise and Vibration

Table 3.5 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Noise and Vibration

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.5.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Noise and Vibration assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126] (Revision B) and Section 14.2 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256] (Revision B) . All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Approach and Methods				

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.5.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.5.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 14.4 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.5.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Noise and Vibration was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Noise and Vibration was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.5.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with Noise and Vibration are summarised in Section 14.4 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) . The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.5.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Noise and Vibration are presented in Section 14.5 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) . The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.5.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Noise and Vibration effects, are set out in Section 14.6 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) . Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.5.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 14.6 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G) . The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.5.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 14.6 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) . Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Assessment Conclusions				
3.5.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 14.7 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) . The assessment of	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.		
3.5.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 14.7 of 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) . The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring

3.5.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G) includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Noise and Vibration [APP-256](Revision B) and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. <u>The Applicant's position is provided in 8.4.15 Applicant's Comments on Responses to ExQ2 which states: The Applicant acknowledges that the wording of 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice Appendix F – Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan [REP4-170] is not sufficiently clear on this point and this has been updated at Deadline 6 [REP6-080] to align with the commitments set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (Revision G).</u> <u>In accordance with commitment NV05 of 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (Revision G), monitoring proposals during construction will be determined by the Main Works Contractor(s) through detailed assessments.</u>	CoCP comments to be provided by EPS. <u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230]: response to DCO 2.S6:</u> <u>The revised NVMP includes a more detailed monitoring procedure; however, routine noise monitoring is still not proposed.</u> <u>Monitoring is only identified in response to complaints or where specific requirements are secured through a Section 61 consent.</u> <u>Given the scale and duration of the proposed works, the Council considers that a proactive monitoring strategy is necessary in addition to any complaint-led monitoring.</u> <u>Further clarification is also required regarding the circumstances and types of activities for which Section 61 prior consent applications are anticipated, as this is currently unclear. The general monitoring methodology is broadly acceptable.</u> <u>However, Section 4.6.7 states: "If the location is free-field, then the levels will be</u>	Not applicable
--------	--------------	---	---	----------------

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>documented within the NVMP and agreed with the relevant Local Planning Authorities prior to construction under Requirement 4 of 3.1 Draft DCO (Revision G).</u> <u>While monitoring may form part of any Section 61 agreement, this will not be the sole mechanism for securing monitoring requirements.</u> <u>For clarity, the intent of not undertaking routine monitoring is to avoid unnecessary monitoring where there is no material benefit. Given the scale of the Project, extensive sections of the works are located away from sensitive receptors, such that noise and vibration do not represent a material issue or constraint. In these locations, monitoring is therefore not generally required in principle. However, noise and vibration monitoring will be undertaken where it provides a material benefit, with the need, scope and methodology agreed through consultation with the relevant Local Planning Authority.</u> <u>Additionally, the Applicant agrees that the requirement in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice Appendix F – Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan [REP4-170] to correct monitored noise levels to façade noise by the addition of 3 dB, will be removed at Deadline 6.</u>	<u>corrected to façade by the addition of 3 dB." This appears to be incorrect. Free-field measurements would not normally require correction where the intention is to report free-field noise levels. The Council considers that routine noise monitoring should be undertaken throughout key construction phases. As a minimum, a permanent monitoring location should be established within the construction site boundary and, where reasonably practicable, at the nearest representative noise-sensitive receptor(s). Whilst the Council recognises that installation at a receptor may not always be possible due to access, security or ownership constraints, alternative arrangements should be agreed with the relevant local authority. The use of both on-site and receptor-based monitoring would assist in identifying whether elevated noise levels are attributable to construction activities associated with the Proposed Development or arise from other external sources, such as road traffic or unrelated industrial activities. Monitoring should be undertaken and managed by a suitably qualified and competent acoustic specialist. The outline CoCP/NVMP should also specify: the proposed monitoring locations; trigger levels for further investigation; corrective actions to be implemented where exceedances are identified; and reporting arrangements to the relevant local authority.</u>	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			<u>All monitoring data, exceedances, investigations, complaints, and corrective actions should be recorded and retained in an auditable log. Records should be made available to the relevant local authority upon request and periodic monitoring reports should be provided at an agreed frequency throughout the construction period.</u>	
Other matters as required				

3.6 Health and Wellbeing

Table 3.6 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Health and Wellbeing

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.6.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Health and Wellbeing assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126](Revision B) and Section 10.2 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision C). All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.6.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the Health and Wellbeing Refreshed Approach – Technical Note issued in October 2024.	The study area was agreed through the Health and Wellbeing Refreshed Approach – Technical Note issued in October 2024. Agreed. The Council did submit a response to the initial Health and Wellbeing technical note.	Agreed
3.6.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 10.4 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192] (Revision C) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.6.4	Assessment methodology	The Methodology was also agreed through the Health and Wellbeing Refreshed Approach – Technical Note issued in October 2024.	A meeting was held on 24 September 2024 to agree the assessment methodology of the Health and Wellbeing ES chapter. The Methodology was also agreed through the Health and Wellbeing Refreshed Approach – Technical Note issued in October 2024. There were no comments, correspondence or objections from BBC following the meeting on 24 September, therefore it is assumed that the assessment methodology is agreed.	Agreed
3.6.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with Health and Wellbeing are summarised in Section 10.4 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision C) . The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.6.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Health and Wellbeing are presented in Section 10.5 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision C) . The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.6.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Health and Wellbeing effects, are set out in Section 10.6 of	BBC refer to EPS for comments on the oCoCP.	Not applicable

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision C). Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. <u>The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.</u>		
3.6.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 10.6 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision C) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G). The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. <u>The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.</u>	BBC refer to EPS for comments on the oCoCP.	Not applicable
3.6.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 10.6 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision C). Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. <u>The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.</u>	BBC refer to EPS for comments on the oCoCP.	Not applicable
EIA – Assessment Conclusions				
3.6.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 10.7 of 6.10 Environmental	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192 REP6-053]. The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.		
3.6.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 10.7 of 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192 REP6-053]. The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.6.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-026](Revision G) includes all relevant mitigation measures specified in 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Health and Wellbeing [APP-192REP6-053] and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. <u>The Applicant is committed to ensuring that all activities related to the Project are conducted with full transparency and respect for the health and concerns of local communities. Commitments GG36 and GG37 have been added to 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice (Revision G). Commitment GG36 secures the preparation of a detailed Communication Strategy, including the approach to community liaison, as part of the final Code of Construction Practice. The detailed Community Strategy will cover activities such as those identified by BBC including advance notification of disruptive activities to affected</u>	The content of the CoCP is still under discussion. As 3.6.8, CoCP comment to be provided by EPS. <u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230]: response to DCO 2.S3:</u> <u>We are aware of Appendix E of the Outline OCoCP “Community Engagement and Public Information” which provides a section on the Complaints Procedure and outlines what the Complaints Procedure Plan will look to include once developed by the Mains Contractors. This would presumably take place either if the DCO is granted consent or as part of the final version of the OCoCP. The content of this document provides further detail on the elements we would expect to see including: -</u>	Not applicable

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>communities; and the approach to accessible communication options for residents. Commitment GG37 relates to development of a robust and accessible complaints procedure.</u> <u>The Applicant has submitted a revised 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice Appendix E - Community Engagement and Public Information (Revision C) at deadline 6. This includes a more detailed Complaints Procedure, produced with reference to the complaints procedure detailed in the Lower Thames Crossing DCO.</u>	<u>· Where (e.g. dedicated telephone line and project website) and how to log a complaint and to whom</u> <u>· Timeframe for response to complaints</u> <u>· Potential solutions / remedies available to address complaints</u> <u>· Who to contact in the event that the complainant is not satisfied with the outcome.</u> <u>It also highlights that minor issues will be handled primarily by the Mains Works Contractors and that escalations for major issues will be dealt with by National Grid.</u> <u>We would encourage the Applicant to mirror the complaints procedure detailed in the Lower Thames Crossing DCO. It is the Council's view that this procedure has worked well within the borough. It includes the provision of a Highways England Customer Contact Centre which consists of a phone line, email and website contact facility. The Contact Centre also endeavours to provide a response to enquiries and complaints within 10 working days. Subject to the provision of the information outlined above, and provided that development, delivery, appropriate communication to residents about the Complaints Process, and ongoing monitoring of the effectiveness of this Complaints Procedure Plan are secured through the DCO processes, we are satisfied with the arrangements. We are</u>	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			also satisfied that these measures will be delivered to a satisfactory level, with agreement from the relevant departments within the Council. On this basis, we are assured that there will be clear mechanisms for residents to raise concerns and have them addressed in a timely manner. Given the above, we do not consider it necessary to provide any draft wording for the contents of a Complaints Process Plan at this time.	
Other matters as required				

3.7 Historic Environment

[The Applicant understands that BBC has deferred to EPS for matters related to Historic Environment. For matters where it has not been possible to hold discussions with BBC to reach agreement, it is assumed that the EPS position also applies for BBC and Table 3.7 has therefore been updated to reflect this.](#)

Table 3.7 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Historic Environment

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.7.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Historic Environment assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context	Agreed in respect of the area covered by BBC. The Council defers to EPS comments on the historic environment submitted March 2025.	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		[APP-126](Revision B) and Section 11.2 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068](Revision D). All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.		
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.7.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate. The study area was also agreed through subsequent thematic group meetings where further comments were addressed.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate. The study area was also agreed through subsequent thematic group meetings where further comments were addressed.	Agreed
3.7.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 11.4 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068](Revision D). Historic Environment walkover surveys have been undertaken across 97% of the Order Limits. Geophysical survey has been undertaken across 96% of priority areas and 30% of phase 2 areas, at the point of submission in January 2026 of the Supplementary Environmental Information for 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068 to AS-083]. This represents a combined total of 74% of the overall geophysical survey. area and the area of intrusive impact for the Project. It is intended to	BBC position pending sight of the ES. 5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that all matters relating to data sources have been agreed with EPS. ECC advised that this matter can be considered agreed during a call held on 22.6.2026.	Under discussion Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>submit the report of the phase 2 geophysics that has been completed up to the 17 April 2026 into Deadline 6 (7 July.26) of the Examination. This would then represent a combined total of 92% (approximately 2050ha) of the overall geophysical survey area and the area of intrusive impact for the Project.</u> <u>Archaeological trial trenching had been completed for 89% of priority areas (approximately 3000 trenches) at the point of submission of Supplementary Environmental Information for 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068 to AS-083] [AS-068 to AS-083]. The remaining 11% of the priority archaeological trial trenching is in progress and fieldwork was largely completed in April 2026. Final reports of this element of the archaeological trial trenching are expected in August 2026 and therefore are not expected to be available during examination.</u> <u>The fieldwork undertaken to date comprises a more comprehensive approach to evaluation than many other Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects have completed and has been considered sufficient to determine consent by the Planning Inspectorate and the Secretary of State.</u> <u>Assessment or interim reporting has been completed for all of the priority evaluation areas for which access was possible. The results of those reports received since the submission of supplementary environmental information in January 2026 have</u>		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>been reviewed against the existing historic environment baseline and assessment (6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment (Revision D) and 6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables (Revision D)) and there are no changes. The results of the phase 2 geophysical survey and supplementary historic environment baseline and assessment have been submitted at Deadline 6 (6.11.A8 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.8 - Phase 2 Geophysical Survey [REP6-065]). The Applicant therefore considers that an appropriate amount and level of detail of baseline information has been provided to inform examination.</u> <u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BBC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>		
3.7.4 a	Assessment methodology (General)	The scoping opinion stated: <i>'The Applicant should make effort to discuss and agree relevant non-designated heritage assets for assessment and the detailed assessment methodology with relevant local planning authorities.'</i> It is considered that the assessment methodology has been agreed, with the exception of non-designated heritage assets, where the method is still under discussion. Historic Environment Viewpoints feedback will be taken into account for the assessment.	The scoping opinion stated: <i>'The Applicant should make effort to discuss and agree relevant non-designated heritage assets for assessment and the detailed assessment methodology with relevant local planning authorities.'</i> The assessment methodology has been discussed at a number of Historic Environment Thematic Group Meetings held between July 2022 and November 2024. It is considered that the assessment methodology has been agreed, with the exception of non-designated heritage	Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			assets, where the method is still under discussion. Historic Environment Viewpoints feedback received on 4th March 2025 from EPS.	
3.7.4 b	Assessment methodology (Non-designated heritage assets)	It is considered that the assessment methodology has been agreed, with the exception of non-designated heritage assets, where the method is still under discussion. <u>The Applicant has set out their reasoning for the approach to assessment of non-designated heritage assets in response to ExQ1 HE 1.38 in 8.9.1 Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions [REP3-074].</u> <u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BBC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>	It is considered that the assessment methodology has been agreed, with the exception of non-designated heritage assets, where the method is still under discussion. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F)</u> shows that all matters relating to assessment methodology have been agreed with EPS.	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>
3.7.5	Key parameters and assumptions	<u>The fieldwork undertaken to date (see response to 3.7.3) comprises a more comprehensive approach to evaluation than many other Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects have completed and has been considered sufficient to determine consent by the Planning Inspectorate and the Secretary of State.</u> <u>The Applicant has provided a response to this matter at Deadline 3 in response to HE 1.43 in 8.9.1 Applicant's Responses to First Written Questions [REP3-074].</u> <u>The assessment presented in 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11- Historic Environment (Revision D) and 6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix</u>	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F)</u> shows that all matters relating to key parameters and assumptions have been agreed with EPS. <u>ECC advised that this matter can be considered agreed during a call held on 22.6.2026.</u>	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables (Revision D)</u> has taken a precautionary approach and assessed non-designated heritage assets where there is potential for archaeology, even if this had not been confirmed by field evaluation. This situation is common for all types of development. There are measures in place through Requirement 5 of <u>3.1 Draft DCO [REP5-060]</u> and <u>7.5 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Revision C)</u> to secure agreement of any further evaluation and/or mitigation prior to the start of works, which provides protection for currently unknown archaeology. The archaeological evaluation work (geophysics and trial trenching) has continued since the submission of the application for development consent, continuing the Applicant's commitment to the historic environment potentially affected by the Project. The results of the fieldwork up to the end of December 2025 were submitted to the Planning Inspectorate in January 2026 as <u>6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment [AS-068]</u> to <u>6.11.F6 Environmental Statement Figure 11.6 - Phase 2 Geophysical Survey Preliminary Results [AS-083]</u>, of which the historic environment stakeholders are aware. Further details can be found in response to ID 4.13.25 and 8.238 in <u>8.8.2 Applicant's Comments on Local Impact Reports [REP2-030]</u>.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>Assessment or interim reporting has been completed for all of the priority evaluation areas for which access was possible. The results of those reports received since the submission of supplementary environmental information in January 2026 have been reviewed against the existing historic environment baseline and assessment (6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 - Historic Environment - Clean Version (Revision D) and 6.11.A2 Environmental Statement Appendix 11.2 - Historic Environment Assessment Tables (Revision D)) and there are no changes. The results of the phase 2 geophysical survey and supplementary historic environment baseline and assessment have been submitted at Deadline 6 [ref]. The Applicant therefore considers that an appropriate amount and level of detail of baseline information has been provided to inform examination.</u> Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Historic Environment assessment are summarised in Section 11.4 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068]. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate. The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BBC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.		

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.7.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Historic Environment are presented in Section 11.5 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] (Revision D). The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate. <u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BBC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that all matters relating to baseline conditions and receptors have been agreed with EPS.</u>	Under discussion Agreed
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.7.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Historic Environment effects, are set out in Section 11.6 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] (Revision D). Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. <u>The evaluation of the 400 kV underground cable is complete and reporting for the final areas completed in 2026 is underway. No significant areas of archaeology have been identified that were not already included in baseline and assessment.</u> <u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BBC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that the matter relating to embedded mitigation has been agreed with EPS.</u>	Under discussion Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.7.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in Section 11.6 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] (Revision D) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025] (Revision G). The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.	CoCP comments are being provided by EPS on behalf of all the Essex councils. The Council defers to that response.	Not applicable
3.7.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 11.6 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068] (Revision D). Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. May 2026, following stakeholder feedback, the Applicant has re-issued an updated version of 7.5 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Outline Written Scheme of Investigation [REP5-161] which was submitted at Deadline 5. The evaluation of the 400 kV underground cable is complete and reporting for the final areas completed in 2026 is underway. No significant areas of archaeology have been identified that were not already included in baseline and assessment.	BBC position pending sight of the ES. 5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that the matter of additional mitigation is currently not agreed, and shows the following position: ‘The requirement for additional mitigation for archaeology is agreed. The effectiveness of this will depend on the methodologies proposed in the Outline AMS-WSI and so agreement on this document is key to achieving successful mitigation. ECC noted during call in January 2026 that this matter is not relevant for Built Heritage. This remains Under Discussion with ECC awaiting the submission of an updated version of 7.5 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Outline Written	Under discussion Agreed

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			<u>Scheme of Investigation [APP-328] which is scheduled for submission at Deadline 5, June 2026. The revised AMS-OWSI has been received. Comments have been provided direct to the Consultant. At present the revised AMS-OWSI is not agreed and it is essential to have agreement on this control document to secure agreement of any further evaluation and/or mitigation prior to the start of works, which provides protection for currently unknown archaeology.</u> <u>EPS, on behalf of BBC, confirmed their agreement on this matter on 14th July 2026.</u>	

EIA – Assessment Conclusions

3.7.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 11.7 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068](Revision D). The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate. <u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BBC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that all matters relating to construction effects have been agreed with EPS.</u>	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>
3.7.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 11.7 of 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Historic Environment [AS-068](Revision D). The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that all matters relating to operational (and maintenance) effects have been agreed with EPS.</u>	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BCC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>		
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.7.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice {REP3-025}<u>(Revision G)</u> includes all relevant construction mitigation measures specified in <u>6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 (= Historic Environment)</u> of the ES [APP-208] <u>(Revision D)</u> and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. A meeting was held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for the 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice {REP3-025}<u>(Revision G)</u>. A further meeting was held in January 2025 to address comments from stakeholders. <u>The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.</u>	CoCP comments are being provided by EPS on behalf of all the Essex councils. The Council defers to that response.	Not applicable
Other matters as required				

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.7.13	Written Scheme of Investigations (WSIs) for pre-consent geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching.	The scope and methodology of WSIs for geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching is considered appropriate and proportionate to the level of impact anticipated. Responses from EPS have been taken into account in producing the final approved versions of these WSIs. <u>The Applicant proposes this matter is agreed as the fieldwork covered by these agreed WSIs is majority complete project-wide, as set out in 3.7.3.</u>	EPS email on 6 March 2025 on behalf of BBC requested: • Extra research aims added into this section in case the archaeology is later or earlier in date than is expected. • Need to have consistency if this is a full strip. In this small section 1 m is sufficient but for future ones a percentage would be more appropriate (normally we would recommend 10% as minimum). • Second bullet point is more related to evaluations and this is not occurring on site at present. The level of excavation will be high enough to provide a full record of the archaeological deposits. • Metal detecting needs to state excavation or strip map and record. • Any complex deposits will require full excavation. <u>•5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that the matter relating to WSIs for pre-consent geophysical surveys and archaeological trial trenching key parameters and assumptions has been agreed with EPS.</u>	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>
3.7.14	Draft Mitigation Strategy and Outline WSI	The contents of the 7.5 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Outline Written Scheme of Investigation [APP-328] <u>(Revision C)</u> is considered appropriate and proportionate to the level of impact anticipated. The Applicant issued the Draft Outline Mitigation Strategy and	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>Position updated by the Applicant reflecting BBC Responses to ExQ2 [REP5-230] included a response to HE 2.13. The BBC response replicated the Essex County</u>	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for post-consent stage of the project in April 2025 for comment. <u>May 2026, following stakeholder feedback, the Applicant has re-issued an updated version of 7.5 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Outline Written Scheme of Investigation [REP5-161] with the intention that any further comments can be addressed and included for submission at Deadline 5.</u>	<u>Council responses to ExQ2 [REP5-242]. The Applicant provided responses to both BBC and Essex County Council in 8.4.15 Applicant's Comments on Responses to ExQ2. These responses have not been replicated here.</u> <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that Outline AMS-WSI is currently not agreed, and shows the following position:</u> <u>'This remains Under Discussion with ECC awaiting the submission of an updated version of 7.5 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy and Outline Written Scheme of Investigation [APP-328] which is scheduled for submission at Deadline 5, June 2026. The updated version of the AMS-OWSI has been reviewed (May 2026) and is not yet considered sufficient as a control document. Comments have been provided direct to the Applicant and these changes would be required prior to the determination of the application.'</u> <u>EPS, on behalf of BBC, confirmed their agreement on this matter on 14th July 2026.</u>	
3.7.15	Programme for completion of archaeological fieldwork	<u>A summary of the progress to date and future programme for the archaeological evaluation fieldwork for the Project was presented in the technical note: 'Summary of Archaeological Fieldwork - Progress and Programme', issued on the 27 June 2025.</u>	BBC position pending sight of the ES. <u>5.9.3 Statement of Common Ground with Essex County Council (Revision F) shows that the matter relating to the</u>	Under discussion <u>Agreed</u>

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>A phased approach to archaeological evaluation is being undertaken. The Project has defined 'priority areas' on the basis of the likely scale of impact on archaeological remains, flexibility in design and construction programme. A proportion of the evaluation of 'priority areas' was complete at submission with the rest proposed to be completed pre examination. Evaluation of 'non priority areas' is proposed to be undertaken following completion of 'priority areas'</u> The programme for archaeological fieldwork is considered appropriate and proportionate to the level of impact anticipated. <u>The Applicant considers that following ongoing engagement with EPS on behalf of BCC, this matter can be moved to Agreed.</u>	<u>programme for completion of archaeological fieldwork has been agreed with EPS.</u>	

3.8 Landscape and Visual

Table 3.8 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Landscape and Visual

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.8.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 - Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126](Revision B) and within Section 13.2 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] of the ES(Revision B). All relevant legislation, policy and guidance have been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	BBC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.8.2	Study area	The study area for assessing Landscape and Visual was agreed through 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate and through subsequent thematic workshops.	The study area for assessing Landscape and Visual was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and the Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate and through subsequent thematic workshops.	Agreed
3.8.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data (excluding viewpoints) has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 13.4 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.8.4	Assessment methodology (including LVIA methodology)	The outline methodology for assessing Landscape and Visual was agreed through 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate and through subsequent thematic workshops. The LVIA methodology is set out in 6.13.A1 Environmental Statement Appendix 13.1 - Landscape and Visual Methodology [APP-227] (Revision B).	EPS provided comments on the proposed viewpoints in Essex South in an E-mail on 25 October 2024. Viewpoints are still under discussion.	Under discussion
3.8.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment are summarised in Section 13.4 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B). The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.8.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Landscape and Visual are presented in Section 13.5 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B). The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.8.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded measures are those that are intrinsic to and built into the design of the Project, which are presented in Table 4.2 in 6.4 Environmental Statement Chapter 4 - Project Description [APP-130] (Revision B) and also Paragraphs	EPS email 5 th Nov 2024 – Landscape – adequacy of undergrounding, mitigation and lack of compensation are all still under discussion.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		13.6.2 to 13.6.4 in Section 13.6 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) . Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.		
3.8.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures comprise management activities and techniques which would be implemented during construction of the Project to limit effects through adherence to good site practices and achieving legal compliance. Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Landscape and Visual effects during construction are summarised in Section 13.6 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) and 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025] (Revision G) . The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	More information sought.	Under discussion
3.8.9	Additional mitigation	Additional mitigation comprises measures over and above any embedded and standard mitigation measures. The consideration of additional mitigation measures is presented in Section 13.6 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES	Under discussion

EIA – Assessment Conclusions

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.8.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 13.7 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) . The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	More information sought.	Under discussion
3.8.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 13.7 of 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) . The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	More information sought.	Under discussion
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.8.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025] (Revision G) includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.	The content of the CoCP is still under discussion. As 3.6.8, CoCP comment to be provide by EPS.	Not applicable
3.8.13	Outline LEMP	7.4 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan [REP3-030] (Revision G) includes all relevant operational related mitigation measures specified in 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 - Landscape and Visual [APP-226] (Revision B) and is appropriate.	E-mail from EPS dated 22 October 2024 with comments on the structure of the Outline LEMP. No further comments received on the 2 nd iteration to date.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
Other matters as required				

3.9 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism

Table 3.9 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.9.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126] (Revision B) and Section 15.2 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265] (Revision C). All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment. The Applicant's position with regard to community benefits is set out in ID 3.2.9.	Agreed - subject to further clarification on social value/community benefits information.	Agreed
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.9.2	Study area	The Scoping Opinion stated: <i>"The Applicant should seek to agree the study area with the relevant local authorities"</i> .	Basildon Council responded to the Socio Economic & Tourism technical note consultation on 17 July 2023.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		The 3rd Technical Note was issued in March 2025 to seek agreement on the study area and methodology. In regard to the disruption to green space and nature, an assessment has been undertaken of the potential impact and effect on access to recreational land within 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C). The assessment approach and methodology are set out in Section 15.4, with the assessment set out in Table 15.23 and Table 15.29. In regards to the potential health impact on traffic and transport; water; soils contamination; and general community disruption, these are set out in 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 - Health and Wellbeing [APP-192](Revision B).	A response to the non-technical Health note was also provided where BBC stated that the methodology should consider how the project will impact on health in respect of the following: Disruption to Green Space and Nature: assessing whether construction of the project could result in the loss of green space used for leisure and recreation purposes. Traffic and Transport: Linking to the Council's full consultation response, will there be any possible human health effects e.g. physical injury from accidents due to more traffic on the roads, or increased congestion and driver stress? Water: Is there a risk of human health effects during construction if and where contaminants of groundwater enters the public water supply? How will this be prevented? Some consideration may need to be given to the new National Policy Statement on water, which came into force in September 2023. Soil contamination: Soil quality is linked to Nutrient Neutrality matters and thus the potential to disrupt nature. Would there be any potential build-up of ground gases and/or soil contamination from construction activity? What impacts, if any, on human health, could arise? General community disruption: This would test general effects on well-being; and what	

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
			effects (if any) the construction of the route will have on communities, and whether or not this varies in different local authorities across the route?	
3.9.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Section 15.4 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.9.4	Assessment methodology	The Scoping Opinion stated <i>"The ES should detail the criteria used to identify businesses likely to be affected and the Applicant should seek to agree these with relevant local authorities"</i>. A meeting was held in November 2024 to seek to agree this point in the Scoping Opinion. The 3rd Technical Note was issued in March 2025 to seek agreement on the study area and methodology. The Applicant's response to the Statutory Consultation response: A detailed assessment of the potential effect on the economy and employment within the Wider Study Area has been undertaken in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C), refer to paragraphs 15.7.2 to 15.7.19. However, a district or borough breakdown of direct and indirect employment generation will	During the Thematic Group Meeting (August 2023), BBC requested the quantification of employment numbers to be generated on a borough by borough basis or at a local community level within the assessment. BBC statutory consultation response also reiterated the above stating that: <i>Failing to set out what the project will achieve in this respect appears to go against the heart of the LURA 2023 legislation, and, By this stage of the project, clear facts and figures about the opportunities N-T will create should really be available.</i> Govt policy now expects community benefits information to be provided. The Council feels that information on job creation will help National Grid to demonstrate co-working with LPAs if a breakdown at local level can be provided.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		not be available. This is due to the fact that job uptake will be skills level dependent, as well as taking into account suitability. The potential employment opportunities for the Project would also serve a much wider scale, including short tenure and mobile employment. Therefore, it will be appropriate to assess the employment generation assessment in the Wider Study Area as a whole.		
3.9.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment are summarised in Section 15.4 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265] (Revision C) . The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.9.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism are presented in Section 15.5 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265] (Revision C) . The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.9.7	Embedded mitigation	Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism effects, are set out in of 6.15 Environmental Statement	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C). Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.		
3.9.8	Standard mitigation	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism effects during construction are summarised in Section 15.6 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G). The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.	As 3.6.8, CoCP comment to be provided by EPS.	Not applicable
3.9.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Section 15.6 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C). Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Assessment Conclusions				
3.9.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Section 15.7 of 6.15	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265] (Revision C) . The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.		
3.9.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Section 15.7 of 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265] (Revision C) . The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.9.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025] (Revision G) includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 (Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism) [APP-265] (Revision C) . and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. A meeting was held in October 2024 to agree on the structure for 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025] (Revision G) . A further meeting was held in January 2025 to address comments from stakeholders. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.	Content is still under discussion. CoCP comments are being provided by EPS on behalf of all the Essex councils. BBC defers to that response.	Not applicable
Other matters as required				

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.9.13	Skills and Employment	Socio-economic impacts on the local economy and the tourism sector are assessed in 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 - Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism [APP-265](Revision C). The chapter concludes that there would be no significant impacts on the local economy as a result of the Project. In addition to the ES, the Applicant is committed to providing a coordinated local and regional approach to community benefits. The Government has published its guidance on community funds for transmission infrastructure (DESNZ, 2025). The Applicant is committed to working with Ofgem, industry partners, local communities and their representatives to ensure community benefits are delivered fairly and effectively, driving lasting, positive change for the people and places integral to our developing electricity network. This would be delivered outside the development consent process, since it is not a material consideration in the decision on the Project or a matter to be secured as part of the Development Consent Order (DCO), as per the Community Funds for Transmission Infrastructure: Guidance (DESNZ, 2025). Therefore, any community benefit or investment would be captured under the National Grid Community Grant Scheme and dealt with separately to the EIA. In response, and to elaborate on what the Applicant set in response to Relevant Representations and without prejudice to the Applicant's position that such matters do not	Basildon Relevant Representation (November 2025): It is essential that National Grid proactively explores mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and works closely with local partners to identify opportunities to support and strengthen the local economy. This includes ensuring that Essex-based businesses are able to benefit from the project through meaningful engagement with the supply chain, procurement opportunities, and targeted support to help them meet project requirements Given the acute national and local skills shortages, the employment and skills benefits—both during construction and operation—are significant and must be fully recognised and planned for. We support the calls by Essex County Council to request National Grid to provide detailed labour market demand data as early as possible. This will allow for timely coordination with local education and training providers, enabling the development of targeted upskilling programmes and reducing the risk of workforce shortages that could delay project delivery. Also, the request that the applicant should actively engage with relevant partners to mitigate risks associated with skills shortages and consider opportunities for shared apprenticeships, traineeships, and collaborative training programmes across concurrent projects. A	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		constitute mitigation, the Applicant now proposes to prepare and submit an has submitted 8.13 Outline Employment and Skills Plan into the Examination [REP5-215] at Deadline 5. This document is intended to provide transparency and clarity regarding the approach that the Applicant and its delivery partner, The Great Grid Partnership, will take to employment, skills, training and supply chain engagement during construction of the Project. The Applicant will engage with host authorities to inform the content of the Employment and Skills Plan.	strategic approach that considers the wider infrastructure pipeline will help maximise social value and ensure long-term benefits for the local workforce.	

3.10 Cumulative Effects

Table 3.10 Matters Agreed, Not Agreed or Under Discussion in relation to Cumulative Effects

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Regulatory, Planning Policy Context and Guidance				
3.10.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Cumulative Effects assessment is presented in 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Key Legislation and Planning Policy Context [APP-126] (Revision B) and Section 17.2 of 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects [APP-284] (Revision B) . All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
EIA – Approach and Methods				
3.10.2	Study area	The study area was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The study area was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.10.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within Sections 17.4 and 17.5 of 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects [APP-281](Revision B) and in 6.17.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects – Response Update (Revision B) .	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.10.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Cumulative Effects was agreed through the EIA 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 – APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] received from the Planning Inspectorate.	The methodology for assessing Cumulative Effects was agreed through the EIA Scoping Report and Scoping Opinion received from the Planning Inspectorate.	Agreed
3.10.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Cumulative Effects assessment are summarised in Sections 17.5 and 17.6 of 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects [APP-281](Revision B) . The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
EIA – Baseline Conditions				
3.10.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Cumulative Effects are presented within the environmental topic chapters (Environmental	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		<u>Statement</u> Chapters 6 to 16 of the ES [APP-138 to APP-280]). The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.		
EIA – Embedded, Standard and Additional Mitigation Measures				
3.10.7	Embedded mitigation	The assessment of cumulative effects considered the residual effects identified during construction and operation (and maintenance) within the environmental topic chapters (<u>Environmental Statement</u> Chapters 6 to 16 of the ES [APP-138 to APP-280]). Embedded mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project are set out in the environmental topic chapters (<u>Environmental Statement</u> Chapters 6 to 16 of the ES [APP-138 to APP-280]). Embedded mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
3.10.8	Standard mitigation	The assessment of cumulative effects considers the residual effects identified during construction and operation (and maintenance) within the environmental topic chapters (<u>Environmental Statement</u> Chapters 6 to 16 of the ES [APP-138 to APP-280]). Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential Cumulative Effects during construction are summarised in the environmental topic chapters (<u>Environmental Statement</u> Chapters 6 to 16 of the ES [APP-138 to APP-280]) and set out in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](<u>Revision G</u>). The standard mitigation is	CoCP comments are being provided by EPS on behalf of all the Essex councils. BBC defers to that response.	Not applicable

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.		
3.10.9	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures are presented in Sections 17.4 and 17.5 of Chapter 17 (Cumulative Effects) of the ES [APP-284] Environmental Statement (Revision B) and in 6.17.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects – Response Update (Revision B) . Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion

EIA – Assessment Conclusions

3.10.10	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in Sections 17.4 and 17.5 of 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects [APP-284] (Revision B) and in 6.17.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects – Response Update (Revision B) . The assessment of effects during construction presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
---------	----------------------	--	---------------------------------------	------------------

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
3.10.11	Operational (and maintenance) effects	The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) is presented in Sections 17.4 and 17.5 of 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects [APP-281](Revision B) and in 6.17.1 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects – Response Update (Final Issue A) (Revision B) . The assessment of effects during operation (and maintenance) presented is considered appropriate.	BBC position pending sight of the ES.	Under discussion
Draft DCO / Outline Management Plans / Mitigation and Monitoring				
3.10.12	Outline CoCP	7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G) includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 (– Cumulative Effects) of the ES [APP-281] (Revision B) and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. <u>The Applicant understands that comments by EPS are captured in the Essex County Council SoCG.</u>	Content is still under discussion. CoCP comments are being provided by EPS on behalf of all the Essex councils. BBC defers to that response.	Not applicable
Other matters as required				
3.10.13	Dunton Hills Garden Village	6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Cumulative Effects [APP-281](Revision B) presents an assessment of cumulative effects based on agreed methodology in 6.19 Scoping Report [APP-288 to APP-296] and 6.20 Scoping Opinion [APP-297] . Dunton Hills Garden Village is assessed within 6.17.A3 Environmental Statement Appendix 17.3 –		Under discussion

ID	Matter	National Grid's Position	Basildon Borough Council's Position	Status
		Inter-Project Cumulative Effects [APP-284] which concludes significant adverse effects on landscape and visual, agriculture and soils and the historic environment. Significant effects are anticipated owing to landscape and visual effects due to the scale of construction of both sites and the presence of both projects following construction, the agricultural land take required for both projects and the cumulative effect from both projects on a Grade II listed building (1208245). Mitigation is outlined in 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice [REP3-025](Revision G) and 7.4 Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [REP3-030](Revision G).		

3.11 Development Consent Order

No comments on the draft Development Consent Order have been provided by BBC.

~~3.12 Other Matters~~

4. Confirmation of Agreement

The above SoCG is agreed between National Grid and Basildon Borough Council on the date specified below.

Signed for and on behalf of National Grid:

.....

Date:

.....

Signed for and on behalf of Basildon Borough Council:

.....

Date:

.....

Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full Reference
AIL	Abnormal Indivisible Loads
AIS	Air Insulated Switchgear
AOD	Above Ordnance Datum
AONB	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
BBC	Basildon Borough Council
BNG	Biodiversity Net Gain
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
CSE	Cable Sealing End
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan
DCO	Development Consent Order
EACN	East Anglia Connection Node
EHO	Environmental Health Officer
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EPS	Essex Place Services
ES	Environmental Statement
GI	Ground Investigation
GW	Gigawatt
LLFA	Lead Local Flood Authority
LVIA	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
MIIA	Mineral Infrastructure Impact Assessment
MRA	Minerals Resource Assessment
NCR	National Cycle Route
NETS	National Electricity Transmission System
NPSs	National Policy Statements
PEIR	Preliminary Environmental Information Report
PRoW	Public Right of Way
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
SoCC	Statement of Community Consultation
SPZ	Source Protection Zone
WFD	Water Framework Directive
WHIASU	Wales Health Impact Assessment Support Unit
WIIA	Waste Infrastructure Impact Assessment
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation
ZoI	Zone of Influence
ZTV	Zone of Theoretical Visibility

National Grid plc
National Grid House,
Warwick Technology Park,
Gallows Hill, Warwick.
CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales
No. 4031152
nationalgrid.com

Summary report: Litera Compare for Word 11.16.0.74 Document comparison done on 7/21/2026 10:21:26 AM	
Style name: Default Style	
Intelligent Table Comparison: Active	
Original filename: 5.9.12 Draft Statement of Common Ground - Basildon Borough Council_Revision C_Clean Version (2).docx	
Modified filename: 5.9.12 Final Statement of Common Ground - Basildon Borough Council_Revision D_Clean Version (1).docx	
Changes:	
<u>Add</u>	362
Delete	237
Move From	0
<u>Move To</u>	0
<u>Table Insert</u>	16
Table Delete	7
<u>Table moves to</u>	0
Table moves from	0
Embedded Graphics (Visio, ChemDraw, Images etc.)	0
Embedded Excel	0
Format changes	0
Total Changes:	622